Journal of Electrical Engineering and Information Technologies, Vol. **8,** No. 2, pp. 47–56 (2023)
Article 206
In print: ISSN 2545–4250
Received: November 12, 2023
On line: ISSN 2545–4269
Accepted: November 30, 2023
UDC: 621.548.4-192
https://doi.org/10.51466/JEEIT2382206047ck

Original scientific paper

VIABILITY AND PERFORMANCE INVESTIGATION OF SMALL WIND TURBINES

Maja Celeska Krstevska, Vlatko Stoilkov, Vladimir Dimčev

Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technologies, "Ss. Cyril and Methodius" University in Skopje, Rugjer Bošković bb, P.O.Box 574, 1001 Skopje, Republic of North Macedonia
celeska@feit.ukim.edu.mk

A b s t r a c t: In the past decades, extensive and exhaustive research has been done on the analysis of the operation of large wind turbines, but detailed analyses on small wind turbines are still rare. In addition, two current situations are considered: i) the exhaustion of the possibilities for designing new large wind fields, and ii) the energy crisis, which is particularly current in Europe, so it comes naturally to analyze the possibilities for exploitation of small wind turbines defined according IEC 61400-2 standard. The paper analyzes five potential locations in North Macedonia. Based on two-year measurement period at those locations, the probability distribution of wind speeds was obtained and integrated with the power curve for a specific turbine, the electricity production of four different, commercially available, small wind turbines was investigated. Three wind turbines are horizontal axes wind turbines, with installed power in the range of 3–50 kWp, and one is vertical axis wind turbine with installed power of 4 kWp. In accordance with the Rulebook for amending and supplementing the rulebook for renewable energy sources from June 2022, calculations have been made for the profitability of energy production from grid-connected small wind turbines. Finally, a graphical comparative analysis of different models of small wind turbines for all locations is presented, and conclusions and further directions and recommendations for optimal utilization of wind energy are given.

Key words: small wind turbine; wind energy potential; profitability analysis

АНАЛИЗА НА ОДРЖЛИВОСТА И ПЕРФОРМАНСИТЕ НА МАЛИ ВЕТЕРНИ ТУРБИНИ

А п с т р а к т: Во изминатите децении се направени обемни и исцрпни истражувања за анализа на експлоатацијата на големите ветерни турбини, но детални анализи за мали ветерни турбини сè уште се реткост. Дополнително, ако се земат предвид и две клучни тековни состојби: i) исцрпеноста на можностите за проектирање нови големи ветерни полиња и ii) енергетската криза која е посебно актуелна во Европа, природна е потребата да се анализираат можностите за примена и на мали ветерни турбини дефинирани според стандардот IEC 61400-2. Во трудот се анализирани пет потенцијални локации во Северна Македонија. Врз основа на двегодишни мерења на тие локации, добиена е дистрибуцијата на веројатност на брзините на ветерот и во комбинација со кривата на моќноста на конкретна турбина е анализирано производството на електрична енергија од четири различни, комерцијално достапни, мали ветерни турбини. Три ветерни турбини се со хоризонтална оска, со инсталирани моќности во опсегот 3–50 kWp, а една е со вертикална оска и со инсталирана моќност од 4 kWp. Согласно Правилникот за изменување и дополнување на Правилникот за обновливи извори на енергија од јуни 2022 година, направени се пресметки на исплатливоста на производството од мали ветерни турбини. На крајот е претставена графичка компаративна анализа на различните модели на мали ветерни турбини за сите локации и се изведени заклучоци и понатамошни насоки и препораки за оптимално искористување на енергијата на ветерот.

Клучни зборови: мали ветерни турбини; потенцијал на енергијата на ветерот; анализа на профитабилност

1. INTRODUCTION

With Tremendous advances have occurred in the renewable energy sector in recent decades, among which one of the most important is wind energy. As shown in Figure 1, the rate of growth of global total installed wind power capacity increased annually from 2001 to 2022 [1]. The amount of electricity generated by wind increased by almost 273 TWh in 2021 (17%), 55% higher growth than that achieved in 2020, and the largest of all power generation technologies. Wind remains the leading

non-hydro renewable technology, generating 1.870 TWh in 2021, almost as much as all the others combined [2].



Fig. 1. 2023–-2027 new onshore and offshore wind installations in Europe – WindEurope's scenarios [7]

In our country, we cannot boast of following the trend of installing new wind energy capacities, as we've expected when this technology was new and promising. In 2010, the Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts published a strategy for the use of renewable energy sources [3]. The study predicts that the total installed capacity by 2030 will be around 360 MW, with an expected annual production of around 720 GWh [4]. Until the moment of writing this paper, only the first wind farm – Bogdanci, with an installed capacity of 36.8 MW, is operating in the country, which represents only the first phase of a projected wind farm [5]. By the end of 2023 it is expected the second wind plant to be finished. Furthermore, this is the first private wind energy project in the country, named „Bogoslovec". "Bogoslovec" will have total capacity of 36 MW and hopefully is a step forward in order to divert country's national electricity production, which is still dominated by coal (lignite), to renewable energy sources. So it is clear that intensification of the process is needed.

Within the wind energy sector, small wind turbines (SWTs) are a separate group of wind turbines that cater to localized or decentralized power generation. Unlike large-scale wind turbines commonly seen in wind farms, SWTs are designed for residential, commercial, or community-scale applications. In recent years, technological advancements and increased interest in renewable energy have led to the growth of the SWTs market. As technology continues to evolve, SWTs are becoming more efficient, quieter, and aesthetically acceptable, further expanding their potential application in the renewable energy landscape.

According to the IEC 61400-2 standard, SWTs are characterized by a rotor swept area of less than 200 m^2 and rated power below 50 kW, generating electricity at a voltage below 1 000 V (AC) or 1 500 V (DC) for both on-grid and off-grid applications [6].

Their compact size makes them suitable for installation on rooftops, towers, or other structures, and they are often used inrural or remote areas where grid connection may be challenging. They can provide power for individual homes, farms, small businesses, telecommunication units, isolated mountain objects, or even communities, reducing reliance on traditional energy sources and lowering carbon emissions. One of the primary advantages of SWTs is their ability to generate electricity in areas with lower wind speeds, as well as possibility of 24/7 electricity production. This is confirmed by data from a report from the Statista portal [8] showing the capacity of small wind turbines in the world from 2010 to 2018.

SWTs come in various designs, including horizontal-axis and vertical-axis configurations. Horizontal-axis wind turbines (HAWTs) are similar in design to larger wind turbines and consist of a rotor with two, three or more blades that rotate around a horizontal axis. Vertical-axis wind turbines (VAWTs), on the other hand, have blades that rotate around a vertical axis, allowing them to capture wind from any direction without the need for wind direction alignment.

The installation and maintenance of SWTs are generally more straightforward compared to large-scale turbines. However, it's essential to consider factors such as local regulations, zoning restrictions, and proper site assessment before installing an SWT.

While SWTs offer numerous benefits, they also have limitations. The disadvantages of SWTs are high initial cost, effective placement, wind fluctuation, lower electricity production due to wind share, change in wind direction and also aero-acoustic noise [6]. SWT profitability is determined by the combination of wind turbine efficiency, cost and reliability. At the preliminary stage of the SWT design process, there is a need for an inexpensive, effective and reliable methodology for estimating these factors when considering design solutions and variants [7].

The paper attempts to address this gap by examining the real parameters of SWTs, especially data on actual electricity generation and the profitability of power plant installations. The results will

be valuable for economic assessments of wind turbine investments and for determining the real energy potential of SWTs in the country.

2. MEASUREMENT DATA

The wind data used in the study covers a period of two years (2012–2014) [9]. The input data is gained from three wind measurement masts, at five different locations (Berovo, Mogila, Sopište, St. Nagoričane and Sv. Nikole). The main parameters that are used in the analysis, wind speed and direction, are recorded at 10-minute intervals, expressed in meters per second (m/s) and degrees (°) toward north. The data used in this research is measured with anemometers and wind vanes positioned at heights of 40 m and 38 m, respectively. Average wind speeds for each month across all years studied were calculated separately for each location. Figure 2 presents the results to facilitate the analysis of trends, differences and relationships. A constant trend of windiness between 3 and 4 m/s, can be observed across 3 locations – Berovo, Mogila and Sopište. For Mogila it can be concluded that windiness is usually higher in the autumn-winter period than in the spring-summer period. Opposite of this, the graphs show that for Sopište location the windiness trend is higher in the summer months, with an exception for January. Data from measuring mast located in Berovo also show higher windiness trend during spring and summer months. At Sv. Nikole the windiness is between 3.7 and 5 m/s and higher winds are measured during warm months. Completely different windiness conditions are observed at Staro Nagoričane, with wind speeds among 5.5–8.5 m/s, which qualifies this location as most suitable for exploitation of wind energy, even for commercial big wind turbines.



Fig. 2. Distribution of mean monthly wind speeds across the three year period 2012–2015 for five locations

When analyzing data for estimation of electricity production form SWT, the absence of big variations in wind speed is a positive side. In that case, electricity generation will be quite constant and all necessary maintenance operations as well as routine checks can be carried out anytime, even it is certainly recommended to be done during the calm (least windy) periods.

For better depiction of the real achievable wind speeds at these five locations, histograms were prepared to illustrate how frequently specific wind speeds occur at each location. Also, two-parameters Weibull function is applied at the same graphs, plotted with the values for shape and scaling parameters given in Table 1. From the calculated data in Table 1 it is expected that there won't be any significant differences among wind regimes at the first three locations – Berovo, Mogila and Sopište. All three locations are characterized with low value of mean wind speed – slightly above 3 m/s. At Berovo the lowest wind speed is measured 3 – 0.29 m/s, slightly higher at Mogila – 3.41 m/s, and 3.69 m/s at Sopište. Minor differences are calculated among shape parameters (k). Scale parameters (c) differ for Sopište – 4.34 m/s, compared to those of Berovo, 3.66 m/s, and Mogila, 3.72 m/s.

T a b l e 1

Weibull parameters for the three measuring locations

Parameter	Berovo	Mogila	Sopište	St. Nagoričane	Sv. Nikole
v_{mean} (m/s)	3.29	3.41	3.69	6.16	4.38
Std (m/s)	2.21	2.57	2.72	3.84	3.29
k	1.54	1.36	1.45	1.78	1.36
c (m/s)	3.66	3.72	4.34	7.34	4.75

The dominance of all parameter's values at Staro Nagoričane are evident, with the maximum measured average wind speed of 6.16 m/s, except for the k parameter of 1.78 and it should be notified that a higher value was expected. At last, Sv. Nikole location is characterized with values in the middle among the above mentioned, with average wind speed of 4.38 m/s and a wider standard deviation, compared to the first three locations. Wind speed analysis is the basis for calculating the annual electricity production in each location by a specific wind turbine in this study (Figure 3).



Fig. 3. Wind speed distribution and bivariant distribution for a) Berovo, b) Mogila, c) Sopište, d) Staro Nagoričane, e) Sv. Nikole

3. SMALL WIND TURBINES

The paper investigates the technical and economic feasibility of four small wind turbines at five measuring locations. The small wind turbines have rated power of 3, 4, 30, and 50 kW. Technical data and power curve data for each wind turbine are given in Table 2 [10]. The selection of these SWT is based on the following factors: i) commercial availability, ii) online available information for installation and operating costs, iii) examining different types of turbines (VAWT and HAWT) and iv) covering the widest possible range of installed power per SWT.

T a b l e 2

SWT technical data and power curve [10]

SWT type/ Technical data	Type 1: Uge-4k (Urban Green Energy – UK) VAWT	Type 2: Skyline sl-30 (En-Eco Italy) HAWT	Type 3: Hz 30 k (Ge shandong – China) HAWT	Type 4: Redriven 50 kW (Redriven – Canada) HAWT
Nominal power (kW)	4	3	30	50
Rotor diameter (m)	2.75	3	12	14.3
Height (m)	7.5	8	18	36
Investment cost (EUR/kW)	3,561.00	3,218.00	2,764.00	3,259.00
Investment cost (EUR)	14,244.00	9,654.00	82,920.00	162,950.00

The prices of the turbines are given in the same table, expressed in euros per kW ([EUR/kW) and in euros. The net price of the turbines includes purchase cost and installation cost. Under installation cost the following cost are considered: building/foundation material cost; installation cost-crane rental, purchase of the equipment's used by the installation team; engineering cost-feasibility study; land purchase cost-circular area of the same radius is assumed necessary; grid connection cost, i.e. cables, power unit and control system and license fees [11].

From this data, we can see that the third type of SWT model is the cheapest (2.764 EUR/kW) and the first type which is VAWT is the most expensive, with 3.561 EUR/kW (Figure 4).

Figure 5 presents the energy characteristics of the analyzed SWTs as a power output curve, which shows the relationship between the wind speed captured by the rotor and its electrical output. The power curve allows the amount of electricity generated by the turbine to be estimated and is an essential component of wind turbine performance assessment [12]. When the detailed characteristics of wind conditions in a given location are known, the annual electricity production of a turbine can be forecast very accurately on the basis of the power curve, and the economic viability of the investment can be assessed [13].



Fig. 4. Investment costs (EUR/kW) referred to each turbine model



Fig. 5. Power curves for four examined SWT [10]

4. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

The annual energy production (*AEP*) was calculated for each surveyed year for each location based on the wind characteristics, namely individual wind speeds and their frequency in a given period, and parameters from the turbine power curves for specific wind speeds. The *AEP* is calculated by successively multiplying the power for each wind speed from the turbine power curve by the measured wind frequency distribution and the number of hours per year [14]. For calculating the *AEP*, a fixed operating period was assumed, i.e., periods out of operation (e.g., due to repair and maintenance of equipment) were not considered. The results are summarized in Table 3 and in Figure 6 Capacity Factors (*CF*s) for each SWT are illustrated for easier comparison.

T a b l e 3

Calculated annual energy production and cost of energy from each SWT

Type : 1Uge-4k (Urban Green Energy – UK)	AEP (kWh)	CF (%)	COE (EUR/kWh)
Berovo	1,989.67	5.68	0.74
Mogila	1,965.61	5.61	0.75
Sopište	2,797.07	7.98	0.52
Staro Nagoričane	9,703.87	27.69	0.15
Sv. Nikole	4,731.50	13.50	0.29
Type 2: Skyline sl-30 (En-Eco Italy)	AEP (kWh)	CF (%)	COE (EUR/kWh)
Berovo	1,460.59	5.56	0.68
Mogila	1,412.45	5.37	0.70
Sopište	2,128.34	8.10	0.47
St. Nagoričane	7,507.10	28.57	0.13
Sv. Nikole	3,631.12	13.82	0.25
Type 3: Hz 30 k (Ge shandong – China)	AEP (kWh)	CF (%)	COE (EUR/kWh)
Berovo	17,296.00	6.58	0.49
Mogila	16,817.86	6.40	0.51
Sopište	24,305.30	9.25	0.35
St. Nagoričane	82,452.12	31.37	0.10
Sv. Nikole	41,499.90	15.79	0.21
Type 4: Redriven 50 kW (Redriven – Canada)	AEP (kWh)	CF (%)	COE (EUR/kWh)
Berovo	35,928.32	8.20	0.47
Mogila	35,431.93	8.09	0.47
Sopište	47,639.93	10.88	0.35
St. Nagoričane	146,578.77	33.47	0.11
Sv. Nikole	76,504.66	17.47	0.20

The main object of the analysis is the distribution of wind speeds over the year. On its basis, the time of occurrence of winds with specific speeds during the year and, consequently, the energy production of a wind power plant is estimated. It is clear, that at St. Nagoričane the highest *CF* values were calculated for all four types of STWs, above 27%. Data calculated for this location was not intended to be compared to other, less windy locations. Next is Sv. Nikole with calculated *CF*s above 13.5%. At Sopište location generally the *CF* is 2% higher, compared to Berovo and Mogila.



Fig. 6. Calculated *CF* [%] for each SWT at the tested locations

If we analyze the SWT models, it is clear that the first type, which is VAWT has the lowest *CF*s, and the fourth type of SWT – Redriven 50 kW, has the highest *CF*s at each location. This can be result of the lowest cut in speed, since this SWT starts producing electricity at 3 m/s, compared to the other three SWTs that start producing electricity above 4 m/s, as is shown in Table 2.

In the next step, a number of calculations were performed for which the cost of electricity in Macedonia was required. For evaluating the energy savings which can result from using different technologies for on-grid systems, the reference figure is household energy purchasing price [15]. The data was taken from the State Statistical Office: the average retail electricity price in 2022 for households was 6.486 MKD/kWh, which is 0.105 EUR/kWh [16], price quoted includes all taxes and fees. The general layout of grid-connected small wind turbine is in Figure 7.



Fig. 7. Layout of on-grid wind turbine system [17]

This type of system is implemented for diminishing the energy bill of a residence. The small wind turbine is connected to the power grid via a dedicated inverter which maximizes the power transfer, via MPPT algorithm or predefined power curve.

In Macedonia, prosumers generating electricity for their own use sell excess produced energy to the grid, necessitating the use of two different electricity prices in the calculations. The price of the produced electricity that the supplier takes from the prosumer is determined in a manner that is established according to the Rulebook for renewable energy sources in the country:

$$c = PCE \cdot \frac{E_i}{E_p}, \quad (1)$$

if quantity of produced electricity E_p is higher of the consumed electricity E_i. In case when consumed electricity E_i is equal to or greater than the electricity produced E_p, then:

$$c = PCE \cdot 0.9. \quad (2)$$

PCE is the average price of electricity that the prosumer pays to the supplier for the purchased electricity, without compensation for using the network, other fees and taxes within a calculation period [18].

According to the State Statistical Office, the average monthly household electricity consumption was 410 kWh in Macedonia in 2022. This value was used with the average retail electricity price to calculate the price of 1.0 kWh for households. The investment costs for each SWT type are shown in Table 2. The cost of energy (*COE*) was also calculated for each considered case. *COE* is a metric used to assess the costs of electricity generation [19]. For one year of turbine operation, the formula for *COE* is as follows:

$$COE = \frac{CRF \cdot I}{AEP} + \frac{TO\&M}{AEP} \text{ (EUR/kWh)} \quad (3)$$

where:

I is investment wind turbine costs (EUR),

AEP is annual energy production (kWh),

TO&M is total yearly operation and maintenance costs (EUR) (estimated 0.015 EUR/kWh over the entire lifetime of the SWT [20, 21]), and

CRF is the capital recovery factor. CRF is the yearly interest [%/year], which depends on interest rate $i = 6\%$ and economic lifetime $n = 15$ years. The results of the calculations are shown in Table 3.

Furthermore, the return of investment in the form of payback time (SPBT) was calculated for each scenario, as follows:

$$Paybacktime = \frac{Investment\ cost}{Average\ animal\ cash\ flow} \text{ (years).} \quad (4)$$

The result is the time (in years) after which the amount of money saved from the use of a small wind turbine will exceed the amount of funds invested in the project. To assess economic efficiency, appropriate calculations were used to determine whether the investment is profitable and after what period of use the wind power plant will start to generate profit.

If the *COE* values form Figure 8 are analyzed, it can be concluded that payback time for Berovo, Mogila and Sopište location are very pessimistic, which will be discussed more thoroughly in the next section.



Fig. 8. Unit cost of energy production for each SWT at the tested locations

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When choosing to invest in an alternative source of energy for a household, the most important consideration is the economic aspect. The most desirable outcome is return of investment followed by the time after which the investment will start to yield a profit. By one Amendment in the Rulebook of RES, by the Macedonian Ministry of Economy, it is assumed an average rate of return on prosumer PV installations of 5 – 6 years. The initial idea for writing this paper was not to compare the profitability of different alternative sources, but to examine the sustainability and cost-effectiveness of SWTs for currently available commercial models.

Knowing the wind conditions at all sites, the motivation was to investigate the feasibility of SWTs at the first three sites (Berovo, Mogila and Sopište). While performing the analyses for annual energy production, then *COE* and at the end the payback time it was suggestively asserted that the same calculations should be performed for locations with better windiness, as Sv. Nikole and St. Nagoričane. Although it is known in advance that St. Nagoričane location is also eligible for the exploitation of wind energy from large scale wind farms.

The results of the simulations of energy production at the five selected locations for the period 2012–2014 are shown in Table 3, accompanied by *CF*s values. Based on the calculated total energy produced, location St. Nagoričane is the most effective location, with more than three times greater energy production compared with either of the other locations. *CF* provides information about the performance of the turbine and the utilization of its potential under the given conditions. The value obtained for Sv. Nikole is good, but *CF*s for St. Nagoričane are optimal and indicate relatively good adaptation of all four types of SWTs to the wind conditions. However, for the first three locations, the indicator reached a very low level and it can be concluded that none of the selected turbines are suitable for use under these conditions.

Figure 8 shows the trends in the costs of electricity generation at the five analyzed locations. Costs vary greatly depending on the location of the SWT, and location 4 is clearly the most cost-effective. Comparing the four types of SWT, slightly lower cost are calculated for type 4 – Redriven 50 kW (0.11–0.47 EUR/kWh), compared to type 3 – Hz 30 k (0.1–0.51 EUR/kWh). The cost is the lowest at St. Nagoričane for the analyzed period, with an average cost of 0.1225 EUR/kWh, in contrast to average costs of 0.24 EUR/kWh at Sv. Nikole. Average value of *COE* for Berovo, Mogila and Sopište are 0.6; 0.607 and 0.42 EUR/kWh, respectively. The analysis shows that Mogila is the most expensive – this can already be deduced from the value of the *AEP* index, which is the lowest at all four types of SWT. The cost calculations show the same for all locations, so if the least amount of electricity was produced during the year, the highest cost of electricity generation per kWh is gained.

A wide range of investment payback periods is obtained, highlighting the importance of a proper, in-depth analysis of location in the preliminary design of SWT installations and before the start of the investment. In the Rulebook for renewable energy sources for North Macedonia, it is clearly noted that a facility for the production of electricity from a renewable energy source can be build, since it uses the electricity produced for its own consumption, and the surplus of the electricity produced is handed over to the electricity distribution network only if:

i) the installed power of the facility should not exceed 6 kW, for household use,

ii) the installed power of the facility should not be greater than 40 kW, for a small budget company.

Due to these limitations, for the SWT type 3 and type 4 (with installed power of 30 kW and 50 kW, respectively) in the calculations, very small, almost none money returns are obtained from the delivered electricity (Eq. 1), so the calculated payback time is over 95 years. The best result was obtained for SWT type 2 (Skyline sl-30.3 kW) which is 15.99 years for the payback period, certainly at St. Nagoričane location.

If the profit was the main objective, the installation of a SWT is not the best option for households. Maybe it is reasonable to analyze the operation of the SWT under isolated conditions from the power grid, when it is unprofitable and unreasonable to connect a particular facility to the grid.

Another option can be a turbine with a shrouded rotor (diffuser). Despite extensive study, shrouded turbines are not yet widely used due to their complexity and high design and manufacturing costs. The diffuser acts as a wind gathering and accelerating device, allowing the turbine to achieve higher aerodynamic efficiency than allowed by the Betz limit [22].

6. CONCLUSION

The basic knowledge necessary for deciding to invest in a wind turbine in a given area is local wind

energy resources. The results of the present paper emphasize the potential for large differences in average annual speed among measurement points and hence the importance of measurement of wind characteristics for decision-making. Wind potential clearly differs among the selected five locations and therefore consequently the per unit cost of electricity follows these differences.

Based on the results of this analysis, the following conclusions can be withdrawn:

i) The wind characteristics in the analyzed locations Berovo, Mogila and Sopište have a similar windiness trend, so we analyze them in one separate group. Sv. Nikole has slightly better wind regimes, but undoubtedly St. Nagoričane has the most desired wind regimes.

ii) The per unit cost of electricity generation is clearly different in each „group". This proves the necessity of thorough verification of the surroundings before investing in a SWT.

iii) The most favorable location for SWT installation from analyzed five locations is St. Nagoričane location.

iv) The conducted analysis gives an overview of the costs of wind resources in different parts of the country, but it is not truly complete as the authors did not have all the required data for calculations, and therefore they partly used assumptions from the literature.

v) Current SWTs are promising solutions for use in sparsely populated areas where there is no access to electricity from the distribution grid.

vi) The addition to SWTs of equipment such as diffusers to tunnel the rotor could increase SWT efficiency and promote further growth of the wind energy industry. Rotor tunnelling can also ensure efficient wind turbine operation, even in areas with less than ideal wind conditions.

REFERENCES

[1] Statista (2023): Cumulative installed wind power capacity worldwide from 2001 to 2022. Available*: Global installed wind energy capacity 2022* | *Statista*. Online-release date: March 2023 [Accessed 05.07.2023]

[2] International Energy Agency (2022): Wind Electricity – Technology deep dive. Available: *Wind Electricity – Analysis – IEA*. Online release date: September 2022. [Accessed 05.07.2023]

[3] Македонска академија на науки и уметностите (2010): *Стратегија за искористување на обновливите извори на енергија во Република Македонија до 2020 година*, Скопје, Јуни 2010.

[4] Министерство за економија на Р. Македонија (2010): *Стратегија за развој на енергетиката во Република Македонија до 2030 година*, Скопје, 2010.

[5] Celeska, M., Najdenkoski, K., Dimchev, V., Stoilkov, V. (October, 2018): Modeling the arrangement of turbines for onshore wind power plants under varying wind conditions, In: *17th Wind Integration Workshop*, Stockholm, Sweden.

[6] International Electrotechnical Commission (2013): *Wind Turbines.* Part 2*: Small Wind Turbines*, Geneva, Switzerland.

[7] Wind Europe, Report: *Wind energy in Eurpoe – Statistics and outlooks for 2023–2027*, Online-release date: 28. 02. 2023

[81] Statista (2019): Available: *Capacity of small wind turbines worldwide 2018* | Online-release date: 6 Sepmetber 2019. [Accessed 15.06.2023]

[9] Факултет за електротехника и информациски технологии (2016): *Збирен извештај за втората мерна кампања за ветер во Република Македонија*, Скопје, април 2016.

[10] Bortolini, M., Gamberi, M., Graziani, A., Manzini, R., Pilati, F. (2013): Performance and viability analysis of small wind turbines in the EU, *Renewable Energy*, Vol. **62**, pp. 629–639.

[11] Karczewski, M., Sobczak, K., Lipian, M., Jozwik, K. (2018): Numerical and experimental tools for small wind turbine load analysis, *Structural Control and Fault Detection of Wind Turbine Systems*, pp. 45–79.

[12] Celeska, M., Najdenkoski, K., Dimchev, V., Stoilkov, V. (2017): Equivalent wind farm power curve estimation, *Journal of Electrical Engineering and Information Technologies*, Vol. **2**, No. 2, pp. 105–111, ISSN 2545–4269.

[13] Tummala, A., Kishore Velamati, R., Kumar Sinha, D., Indraja, V., Krishnam H. (2015): A review on small scale wind turbines, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. **56**, pp. 1351–1371.

[14] Saint-Drenan, Y., Besseau, R., Jansen, M., Staffell, I., Troccoli, A., Dubus, L., Schmidt, J., Gruber, K., Simões, S., Heier, S. (2020): A parametric model for wind turbine power curves incorporating environmental conditions, *Renewable Energy*, Vol. **157**, pp. 754–768.

[15] Predescu, M. (2016): Economic evaluation of small wind turbines and hybrid systems for residential use: The case of Romania, *Revista Brasileria de Planejamento e Desevovlvimento*, Vol. **5**, No. 2, pp. 185–197, ISSN 2317-2363.

[16] State Statistical Office of North Macedonia (2023): *Electrical energy and natural gas prices*, report. Available: Државен завод за статистика: Цени на електрична енергија и природен гас, второ полугодие од 2022 година *(stat.gov.mk)*. Online-released date: 30 March 2023. [Accessed 29.06.2023]

[17] WindEnergy7 (2008): *Understand Net Metering for Home Wind Turbines and Solar*. Available: http://windenergy7.com/turbines/wind-energy/understanding-net-metering-for-sma ll-wind-turbines/. Online release date: September 2008. [Accessed 30.10.2023]

[18] Ministry of Economy of North Macedonia (2019): *Rulebook for renewable energy sources*, Online-release date 30 May 2019.

[19] Zalewska, J., Damaziak, K., Malachowski, J. (2021): An energy efficiency estimation procedure for small wind turbines at chosen locations in Poland, *Energies MDPI*, 21.06.2021.

[20] Bussel, G. (2013*): Electricity Generation with Small Wind Turbines*. New York, USA, Springer, pp. 696–727.

[21] Rao, K. R. (2019): *Wind Energy Economics in Wind Energy for Power Generation*. Cham, Switzerland, Springer, pp. 427–701.

[22] Lipian, M., Dobrev, I., Karczewski, M., Massouh, F., Jozwik, K. (2019): Small wind turbine augmentation: experimental investigations of shrouded- and twin-rotor wind turbine systems, *Energy*, Vol. **186**, No. 115855.